UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

IONICS, INCORPORATED, Plaintiff)))
V.)) Civil Action No. 04-11943-PBS)
GLOBAL ENERGY, INC., Defendant.))))

PLAINTIFF IONICS, INCORPORATED'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56, Plaintiff Ionics, Incorporated ("Ionics") moves for summary judgment on its claim that Defendant Global Energy, Inc. ("Global") breached a Guaranty Agreement dated March 4, 2003 (the "Guaranty"). In support of its motion, Ionics relies upon the accompanying Memorandum of Law in Support of Ionics' Motion for Summary Judgment and the Affidavit of Gary Podrabsky (the "Podrabsky Affidavit").

Ionics is entitled to judgment in the amount of \$1,979,278 plus applicable interest because Global, pursuant to the Guaranty, unconditionally agreed to pay the outstanding debt owed by Wabash River Energy, Ltd. ("WRE"), Global's wholly owned subsidiary. Ionics provided WRE with certain water purification equipment and technical services for an agreed upon contract price. As of June 30, 2004, WRE had not paid the full contract price for the equipment and services, leaving a balance of \$1,979,278. In consideration for Ionics' forbearance from taking immediate action against WRE, Global executed the Guaranty with Ionics. The Guaranty is dated March 4, 2003 and provides that Global "unconditionally and

irrevocably agree[d] to pay Ionics the outstanding indebtedness owed by WRE to Ionics. . . in the amount of \$1,979,278. . . [plus] interest at the annual rate of 12%. . . on or before June 30, 2004, if such payment is not made to Ionics by WRE prior to that date." <u>Podrabsky Affidavit</u>, Exhibit B, ¶1. WRE did not pay the debt on or before June 30, 2004, and Ionics sent Global a demand letter for payment on June 30, 2004. As of this date, September 13, 2004, Global has not paid Ionics the unpaid balance or accrued interest due as required by the Guaranty.

There is no dispute that (a) WRE's debt under the Contract remains outstanding; and (b) Global agreed to guarantee the debt owed Ionics by WRE. The plain language of the Guaranty compels the entry of judgment as a matter of law.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above and in the Memorandum, Ionics respectfully requests that this Court:

- (i) grant Ionics' Motion for Summary Judgment;
- (ii) enter judgment in favor of Ionics for Count I of the complaint in the amount of \$2,371,902.41 for the unpaid outstanding debt and accrued interest pursuant to the Guaranty and as provided by law; and
- (iii) grant lonics such further relief as it finds just or appropriate.

REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above and in the Memorandum, Ionics respectfully requests that this Court grant Ionics a hearing for oral argument regarding this matter.

Dated: September 13, 2004 IONICS, INCORPORATED.

By its Attorneys,

David B. Mack (BBO# 631108) Kim M. Clarke (BBO# 657711)

NIXON PEABODY LLP

100 Summer Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(617) 345-1000

BOS1416363.1 2